

Guest Editorial

Witness in the Context of Confessional Diversity and Nationalism

Ruslan Zagidulin

Published in *Global Missiology*, www.globalmissiology.org, April 2026

The modern Christian landscape is defined by two realities: the steady diversity of Christian denominations and the resurgence of nationalist political movements. Although confessional diversity has long been recognized as a characteristic feature of global Christianity, its consequences continue to raise acute questions, especially among those outside the church. How is it possible that Christians who profess the same Lord speak in such different voices?

Recent empirical research also shows that divisions within Christian communities are not always primarily theological in nature but are often rooted in deeper issues of identity and belonging. For example, this issue's grounded theory-based study on the church in Mauritius, contributed by A. K. Amberg, demonstrates that fragmentation often occurs when believers try to understand a sense of belonging shaped by social loss, cultural change, and postcolonial dynamics. Using this framework, confessional differences reflect a deeper search for recognition, identity, and one's place in society. This observation encourages a broader rethinking of confessional diversity, not only as a theological issue, but also as a socio-cultural dynamic in the body of Christ.

At the same time, churches are increasingly finding themselves in political contexts shaped by strong national identities and, in some cases, rigid or even authoritarian leadership. States interact with religious communities in different ways: some seek cooperation, others impose strict restrictions, and still others expect clear loyalty to national priorities. In such circumstances, Christian communities are constantly forced to distinguish between how to remain faithful to the testimony of Jesus Christ and at the same time participate responsibly in public and political life.

The intersection of confessional diversity and nationalism creates a particularly difficult context for Christian witness. Confessional plurality affects the perception of the Christian message, while political pressure can encourage churches to either overly align with the interests of the state or to withdraw into privatized forms of faith and isolate themselves. Nevertheless, these challenges also open up space for a new understanding of Christian unity, public witness, and the relationship between church and state.

Our son David, who died after a car accident a year ago at the age of 19, had been comparing Christianity with Islam. While being a faithful follower of Jesus, David learned some discipline from his Muslim friends. He regretted that there were many prejudices on both sides. David, reflecting on Christian ethics in Central Asia, observed: "Unfortunately, people often mistake kindness for weakness." The fear of appearing vulnerable—or losing one's place in a changing social and cultural space—can encourage individuals and communities to be suspicious and exclude others. In many cases, theological justifications appear only later, serving as justification for initial fear reactions. If we look at this scenario through the prism of disputed affiliation, such reactions become clearer: border protection often hides deeper anxiety related to identity and one's place. In other words, the theological division becomes secondary, whereas the national-political tension in society often serves as the foundation of divisions.

However, the Christian tradition suggests a different path. The practice of hospitality has served as an important spiritual discipline for centuries, overcoming fear without the need for compromise. Hospitality does not mean consent and does not require giving up beliefs. On the contrary, it involves creating a space for others—especially where we have influence or responsibility—by opening up the possibility of meeting without coercion and witnessing without hostility. In contexts marked by both confessional diversity and growing nationalism, hospitality can become one of the most compelling and necessary forms of church witness.

The perception of Christianity by those outside the faith is shaped not only by its theological statements, but also by the visible relationships between Christians themselves. In this issue, the article by Stephen B. Kern offers a clear example of this dynamic. By exploring the encounter of Muslim asylum seekers with Germany's diverse Christian landscape, Kern shows how people coming from contexts with internal religious tensions encounter a Christian community that is itself multifaceted. His research raises an important question: will this diversity increase skepticism or, on the contrary, become a bridge to encounter the gospel?

Kern's analysis shows that when Christians belonging to different faith traditions demonstrate mutual respect, cooperation, and shared commitment to Christ, their unity—albeit imperfect—becomes a powerful form of witness in pluralistic societies. In this light, the key question is not so much where the global church stands today, but rather what kind of unity, witness, and fidelity it is moving towards.